	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/586,103	BARBER, CHRISTOPHER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Ana M. Fortuna	1797	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Ana M. Fortuna.	(3)		
(2) Robert A. Voigt, Jr.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 05 January 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,11 and 25-28</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: WO 03/102346 A2.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the attached claim amendment was e-mailed by Lesly Holderr, as ordered by Mr. Void on 12/23/08. The amendment responds to each of the rejections on paper of 12/11/08, and includes Examiner's proposed amendment discussed with Mr. Void on 12/17/08. The attached Examiners includes all the amendments required to place the claims in conditions for allowance, and is considered a formal response to the final rejection.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	PLICANT IS THIS SLATER, TO
/Ana M Fortuna/			